

**COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS  
DEPARTMENT OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND ENERGY**

---

**The Western Massachusetts Electric  
Company**

---

**D.T.E. 04-40/04-109/05-10**

**ATTORNEY GENERAL'S THIRD OF  
DOCUMENT AND INFORMATION REQUESTS TO THE WESTERN  
MASSACHUSETTS ELECTRIC COMPANY**

- AG-3-1 Referring to the response to AG-02-04, page 2, please explain what the SOS delivery efficiency factor represents.
- AG-3-2 Referring to the response to AG-02-004, page 2, please explain why an adjustment for the SOS delivery efficiency factor is necessary if the SOS supply contracts specify prices for delivery at the retail meter.
- AG-3-3 Referring to the response to AG-02-004, page 2 and AG-02-05, page 2, please provide similar reconciliations for 2001 and 2004.
- AG-3-4 Referring to the response to AG-02-004, page 2 and AG-02-05, page 2, please provide similar reconciliations for the years 2001 through 2004 for default service.
- AG-3-5 Referring to the response to AG-02-04, page 2, please explain what the load migration payment paid to supplier in 2002 related to the 2001 SOS contract represents.
- AG-3-6 Referring to the response to AG-02-04, page 2, please explain what the ISO-NE load adjustment true-ups represent.
- AG-3-7 Referring to the response to AG-02-023, page 3, please explain how the WMECO share of the RNS cost is determined from the RNS/S&D billed to NU. The response should include supporting calculations.
- AG-3-8 Referring to the response to AG-02-023, page 4, please explain how the WMECO share of the S&D cost is determined from the RNS/S&D billed to NU. The response should include supporting calculations.
- AG-3-9 Referring to the response to AG-02-023, page 6, please explain why the method of allocation to WMECO changed in March 2003.

Dated: June 24, 2005